UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,776	05/27/2004	William G. America	FIS920040083US1	3775
	7590 03/24/200 ARNICK & D'ALESS	8	EXAMINER	
75 STATE STREET 14TH FLOOR			IM, JUNGHWA M	
ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hwdpatents.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/709,776	AMERICA, WILLIAM G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		JUNGHWA M. IM	2811			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPLY WITH THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 E	December 2007				
•		s action is non-final.				
3)	, 					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 21-26 is/are pending in the application	on.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	i)⊠ Claim(s)is/are allowed. i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
	on Papers	or orocaerroquiroment.				
	•					
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 <i>March</i> 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		△□	(TTC 110)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (US Pat. 6,255,233), hereinafter Smith in view of Todd (US Pat. 6,733,830).

Regarding claim 21, Fig. 3 of Smith shows a semiconductor device comprising: a substrate [50;wafer substrate] including silicon;

a dielectric [150,160, 180, 190, 200] atop the substrate, the dielectric layer including a first dielectric sub-layer [200; SiOF], a second dielectric sub-layer [180; SiN] and a first non-discrete transitional dielectric sub-layer [190; graded silicon oxynitride; col. 3, lines 51-57] residing between the first and second dielectric sub-layer layer, wherein the first dielectric sub-layer has an etch resistance different than the second dielectric sub-layer, and an opening [185] extending no deeper than the sub-layer nearest the substrate; and

wherein a composition of the first non-discrete transitional dielectric sub-laver varies gradually through thickness thereof from a first composition substantially the same as the first dielectric sub-layer where the first non-discrete transitional dielectric sub-layer contacts the first dielectric sub-layer to a second composition substantially the

same as the second dielectric sub-layer where the first non-discrete transitional dielectric sub-layer contacts the second dielectric sub-layer (Abstract).

Page 3

Fig. 3 of Smith shows most aspects of the instant invention except the first sub-layer includes at least one component not included in the second sub-layer, the at least one component being selected from a group consisting of perfiuoroalkylsiloxanes. Todd discloses the first dielectric sub-layer includes at least one component not included in the second sub-layer, that is, the first dielectric sub-layer being fluorinated through the at least one component being selected from a group consisting of perfiuoroalkyl group, therefore, forming perfiuoroalkylsiloxanes (col. 9, lines 14-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings Todd of into the device of Smith in order to have the first sub-layer including at least one component not included in the second sub-layer, the at least one component being selected from a group consisting of perfiuoroalkylsiloxane to reduce the etch rate.

Regarding claim 22, Fig. 3 of Smith shows that an etch resistance of the first dielectric sub-layer [SiOF] is greater than an etch resistance of the second dielectric sub-layer [SiN].

Regarding claim 23, Fig. 3 of Smith shows that the first dielectric sub-layer [SiOF; fluorinated silicon oxide] has a greater content of fluorine than the second dielectric sub-layer [SiN].

Regarding claim 24, Todd discloses the first dielectric sub-layer includes at least one component not included in the second sub-layer, that is, the first dielectric sub-layer being fluorinated through the at least one component being selected from a group consisting of methylsilane, dimethylsilane, trimethylsilane, trifluorymethylsilane,

- 1,2-disitanotetrafluorethylene, 1,3-bis(silanodifluoromethylene)disiloxane,
- 2,2-disilanohexafluorosilane, bis(trifluoromethyfdisiloxanyl)difluormethane, octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane, and tetramethylcyclotetrasiloxane (col. 7, lines 48-55).

Regarding claim 25, Fig. 3 of Smith shows that the dielectric layer includes a third dielectric sub-layer [150; SiN] residing between the substrate and the first dielectric sub-layer and a second non-discrete transitional dielectric sub-layer [160; graded silicon oxynitride; col. 3, lines 51-57] residing between the third dielectric sub-layer and the first dielectric sub-layer.

Regarding claim 26, Fig. 3 of Smith shows that the second dielectric sub-layer [180; SiN] and the third dielectric sub-layer [150; SiN] have substantially the same etch resistance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that " ... Applicants respectfully disagree because using perfiuoroalkyl group as a precursor does not predictably and controllably result in the claimed perfluoroalkylsiloxanes. Note that a precursor is not a reagent and does not synthesize to form the resultant compound. To this extent, Todd only discloses using perfiuoroalkyl group as a precursor, but does not disclose an end product of

Application/Control Number: 10/709,776 Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

perfluoroalkylsiloxanes included in a dielectric sub-layer. In addition, Todd does not disclose or suggest forming perfluoroalkylsiloxanes. Todd does not disclose a synthesis of the perfiuoroalkyl group with some other element because the perfiuoroalkyl group is used as precursors. Without further disclosure, a side product, if any, of the reaction between two precursors or between a precursor and a reagent is unpredictable and uncontrolled and does not enable a reproduction of the side product. As such Todd does not teach forming perfluoroalkylsiloxanes. In addition, Todd does not disclose that perfluoroalkylsiloxanes is formed as a side product. The disclosure of Todd and the knowledge in the art also do not support that perfluoroalkylsiloxanes is inherently/necessarily produced where perfiuoroalkyl group is used as a precursor in the fluorination process of a dielectric sub-layer." This argument is not persuasive. It appears that the applicant's argument is merely speculative. Note that Todd explicitly discloses low-k dielectric materials substantially identical to the ones recited in the instant invention including perfluoroalkylsiloxanes. Todd discloses in col. 9, lines 26-40 that one of the preferred low-k material is (fluoroalkyl)fluorosiloxanes with a chemical formula wherein R_f is perfluoromethyl, perfluoroethyl or perfluoropropyl. This implies that a portion (fluoroalkyl) of (fluoroalkyl)fluorosiloxanes can be replaced with perfluoromethy, perfluoroethyl or perfluoropropyl. And it is further pointed out that ethyl or propyl belongs to alkyl group.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/709,776 Page 6

Art Unit: 2811

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNGHWA M. IM whose telephone number is (571)272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne A. Gurley can be reached on (571) 272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,776 Page 7

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lynne A. Gurley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2811

/J. M. I./

Examiner, Art Unit 2811